University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Industrial and Management Systems Engineering -- Instructional Materials Industrial and Management Systems Engineering

2008

Why divide by (n-1) for sample standard deviation?

Paul Savory University of Nebraska at Lincoln, psavory2@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/imseteach

Part of the Applied Statistics Commons, Industrial Engineering Commons, and the Other Statistics and Probability Commons

Savory, Paul, "Why divide by (n-1) for sample standard deviation?" (2008). *Industrial and Management Systems Engineering -- Instructional Materials*. 12. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/imseteach/12

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Industrial and Management Systems Engineering at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Industrial and Management Systems Engineering -- Instructional Materials by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Why divide by (n-1) for sample standard deviation?

Short answer:

Most of the time we do not know μ (the population average) and we estimate it with \overline{x} (the sample average). The formula for s² measures the squared deviations from \overline{x} rather than μ . The x_i's tend to be closer to their average \overline{x} rather than μ , so we compensate for this by using the divisor (n-1) rather than n.

Theoretical Answer:

The quantity: $x_i - \overline{x}$ is called the *i*th deviation from the mean. Note that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x}) = 0 \quad (sum \ of \ the \ deviations \ is \ zero)$$
Proof:
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x}) = \sum x_i - \sum \overline{x} = \sum x_i - n\overline{x} = \sum x_i - n\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum x_i\right) = 0$$

As such, it is customary to refer to s^2 as being based on (n-1) "degrees of freedom. The reason for doing this is that we have already used up one piece of all the information in the dataset in calculating the mean and we should take this into account. This results from the fact that s^2 is based on the n quantities $x_1 - \overline{x}$, $x_2 - \overline{x}$, ..., $x_n - \overline{x}$. But the sum of these deviations is zero – thus, specifying the values of any (n-1) of the quantities determines the remaining one. For example, if n = 4 and $x_1 - \overline{x} = 8$, $x_2 - \overline{x} = -6$, and $x_4 - \overline{x} = -4$, then automatically we know $x_3 - \overline{x} = 2$, so only three of the four values of $x_i - \overline{x}$ are freely determines – hence 3 degrees of freedom.

Empirical Answer:

If we take many samples from a population which has the mean μ , calculate the sample mean \bar{x} , and then average all these estimates of μ , we should find that their average is very close to μ . However, if we calculated the variance of each sample by the formula:

 $\frac{\sum(x_i - \overline{x})}{n}$ (thus, divide by n), and then average all these supposed estimates of σ^2 , we would

probably find that their average is less than σ^2 . We compensate for this by dividing by (n-1).

See the following web site for an animation:

http://www.uvm.edu/~dhowell/SeeingStatisticsApplets/N-1.html

In the example, consider a population consisting of each of the numbers between 0 and 100. Because we have the whole population, we know that the true mean is $\mu = 50$, and the variance is $\sigma^2 = 850$. The true standard deviation (σ) is thus 29.154. (the website is incorrect in saying that $\sigma^2 = 853$.)